



Mort Subite Cardiaque: Etiologies Electriques

J-Claude Daubert

Liens d'intérêt:

Research grants-Consultant

- LivaNova
- Medtronic
- Novartis
- ST Jude Medical

Professeur émérite Université de Rennes 1, France



Centre cardio-thoracique, CHU Rennes

Définitions - Prévalence

« Sudden cardiac death is an unexpected <u>death</u> due to <u>cardiac</u> <u>causes</u> that occurs in a <u>short time period</u> (<1 hour of symptom onset; <24 h for OMS) in a person with known or unknown cardiac disease » RJ Myerburg Circulation 1997

Aborted cardiac arrest is an unexpected circulatory arrest,
 occuring within 1-hr of onset of acute symptoms, which is reversed
 by successful resuscitation maneuvers » 2015 ESC Task Force

Prévalence et Incidence de la MS en France: Estimations

1/1000 hab./an = 60.000/an? 3H/1F; pic de fréquence: 45-75 ans

Mécanismes Rythmiques de l'Arrêt Cardiaque indépendamment de la cause

Revue de la littérature

N=231 décès subits sous Holter

Holters implantés Mémoires PM/DAI

> TDRV: 83.4% Brady: 16%



A Bayès de Luna, Ph Coumel Am Heart J 1989

Etiologies de l'Arrêt Cardiaque

Arrêts cardiaques « resuscités » survenus en dehors de l'hôpital (2002-2014): 1563 pts; âge moyen: 60 ans

Bilan étiologique systématique comportant

- 1. Dès l'admission, selon contexte:
 - coronarographie immédiate (70%)
 - et/ou scanner crânien (46%)
- 2. En USI:
 - histoire personnelle et familiale
 - ECG répétés
 - ETT/ETO
 - IRM
 - Bilan toxicologique
- 3. Autopsie en cas de décès



3-month Survival



G. Geri et al. Resuscitation 2017; 117: 66-72

SUDS: FV idiopathiques

- Diagnostic d'élimination après bilan exhaustif
- Incidence en diminution avec bilans plus complets
- Frontières incertaines avec autres syndromes: Onde J, TPCC...
- Patients asymptomatiques avant AC
- Risque élevé de récidive: 11-45% ; 31% à 5 ans (méta-analyse Oyaidin)
- Seul traitement recommandé: DAI
- Une place pour l'ablation (trigger)?



FV idiopathique: diagnostic d'élimination après bilan approfondi



Revue de litérature: 385 patients referés pour « FV idiopathique »



Repolarisation précoce: Syndrome de l'onde J



Haissaguerre M et al. Sudden cardiac arrest associated with early repolarization. N Engl J Med 2008; 358: 2016-2023

Beaucoup d'inconnues demeurent malgré une littérature prolifique et plusieurs conférences de consensus

Repolarisation précoce: Syndrome de l'onde J

Score de Shanghai	Points	
	1011125	
1. Clinical History	•	Peut-on predire le caractère « pathogene »
A. Unexplained cardiac arrest, documented VF or	3	d'un syndrome de l'onde J?
polymorphic VI	0	
B. Suspected arrnythmic syncope	2	
C. Syncope of unclear mechanism/unclear etiology	T	
"Unly award points once for highest score within this		
Lalegoly		Score (requires at least 1 ECG finding)
11. Twelve-Leau ECU Λ EP > 0.2 mV in > 2 information and (or lateral ECC loads	2	
A. ER 20.2 IIIV III 22 IIII erior and/or lateral ECG leaus	2	≥5 points: Probable/definite ERS
B Dynamic changes in L-point elevation (>0.1 mV) in	15	2 1 5 points: Possible EPS
>2 inferior and/or lateral ECG leads	1.5	5-4.5 points. Possible EN5
~ 2 interior and/or taterat Leo teads (>0.1 mV.]-point elevation in at least 2 inferior	1	<3 points: Non-diagnostic
and/or lateral ECG leads	1	
*Only award points once for highest score within this		
category		
III. Ambulatory ECG Monitoring		
A. Short-coupled PVCs with R on ascending limb or	2	Pas de recommandations officielles
peak of T wave		des sociétés savantes
IV. Family History		
A. Relative with definite ERS	2	
B. \geq 2 first-degree relatives with a II.A. ECG pattern	2	
C. First-degree relative with a II.A. ECG pattern	1	
D. Unexplained sudden cardiac death <45 years in a	0.5	
first- or second-degree relative		
*Only award points once for highest score within this		J-Wave Syndromes Consensus Report
category		
V. Genetic Test Result		C Antzelevitch et al Heart Rhythm 2016; 13: 295-324
A. Probable pathogenic ERS susceptibility mutation	0.5	

Repolarisation précoce: Syndrome de l'onde J



J-Wave Syndromes Consensus Report

C Antzelevitch et al Heart Rhythm 2016; 13: 295-324

Brugada

Prévalence: 1/10.000? (1/1000 en Asie SE)

Transmission autosomique dominante

12 gènes associés, dont 2 seulement expliquent plus de 5% des cas

Pénétrance très variable, dépendant de l'âge et du sexe (evts rythmiques: x 8 H/F)

Age moyen de survenue des évènements rythmiques: 41+15 ans

Facteurs déclenchants: fièvre, alcool...

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
Brugada syndrome is diagnosed in		
patients with ST-segment elevation with		
type 1 morphology \geq 2 mm in one or		
more leads among the right precordial		
leads V1 and/or V2 positioned in the		
second, third, or fourth intercostal	1	С
space, occurring either spontaneously or		
after provocative drug test with		
intravenous administration of sodium		
channel blockers (such as ajmaline,		
flecainide, procainamide or pilsicainide).		

Diagnostic



2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death

Brugada: Stratification du risque

Stratification du risque

Priorité aux évènements cliniques

- ATCD TV/FV/MS: 13.5%/an
- Syncopes: 3.2%
- Asymptomatique: 1%/an

ECG: type 1 spontané

Incertitudes:

- Fragmentation QRS
- SVP???
- Histoire familiale
- Génotypage

Shanghai score for Brugada syndrome	Point
I. ECG (12-Lead/Ambulatory)	
A. Spontaneous type 1 Brugada ECG pattern at	3.5
nominal or high leads	
B. Fever-induced type 1 Brugada ECG pattern at	3
nominal or high leads	
C. Type 2 or 3 Brugada ECG pattern that converts	2
with provocative drug challenge	
*Only award points once for highest score within this cat	egory.
One item from this category must apply.	
II. Clinical History*	
A. Unexplained cardiac arrest or documented VF/	3
polymorphic VT	
B. Nocturnal agonal respirations	2
C. Suspected arrhythmic syncope	2
D. Syncope of unclear mechanism/unclear etiology	1
E. Atrial flutter/fibrillation in patients <30 years	0.5
without alternative etiology	
*Only award points once for highest score within this cat	egory.
III. Family History	•
A. First- or second-degree relative with definite BrS	2
B. Suspicious SCD (fever, nocturnal, Brugada	1
aggravating drugs) in a first- or second-degree	
relative	<u>о г</u>
C. Unexplained SUD $<$ 45 years in first- or second-	0.5
*Only award points and for highest score within this set	
TV Constin Test Desult	eyory.
A Probable pathogonic mutation in Pro	0 5
suscentibility gene	0.9
susceptibility gene	

Brugada: Prise en charge thérapeutique

2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death



Place de la quinidine et de l'ablation discutée

Une maladie moins grave qu'annoncée?



M Casado-Arroyo et J Brugada JACC 2016; 68: 614-623

QT long

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
LQTS is diagnosed with either -QTc ≥480 ms in repeated 12-lead ECGs or - LQTS risk score >3. ⁴³¹	I	С
LQTS is diagnosed in the presence of a confirmed pathogenic LQTS mutation, irrespective of the QT duration.	I	С
ECG diagnosis of LQTS should be considered in the presence of a QTc ≥460 ms in repeated 12-lead ECGs in patients with an unexplained syncopal episode in the absence of secondary causes for QT prolongation.	lla	С



- Prévalence: 1/2500 (forme autosomique dominante)
- Age moyen de découverte: 14 ans
- 13 gènes associés (LQT1... LQT13)
- 3 expliquent 90% des cas mutés: KCNQ1, KCNH2, SCN5A)
- Génotypage recommandé chez les 1^{er} degré en cas de mutation pathogène

QT long

Stratification du risque

1) Symptômes: risque MS

- Très élevé en cas d'ACR, y compris sous BB 14% à 5 ans
- Elevé en cas de syncopes: 5%/an
- Faible chez asymptomatiques: 1%/an





2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death

Beta-blockers are recommended in patients with a clinical diagnosis of LQTS.	I	В
ICD implantation with the use of beta- blockers is recommended in LQTS patients with previous cardiac arrest.	I	В
Beta-blockers should be considered in carriers of a causative LQTS mutation and normal QT interval.	lla	В
ICD implantation in addition to beta-blockers should be considered in LQTS patients who experienced syncope and/or VT while receiving an adequate dose of beta-blockers.	lla	В

Place de la sympathectomie cardiaque gauche et des bloqueurs Na⁺ discutée

QT long

		Points
Electro	ocardiographic findings*	
Α	QTc,† ms	
	≥480	3
	460–479	2
	450–459 (men)	1
В	QTc† 4th minute of recovery from exercise stress test \geq 480 ms	1
С	Torsades-de-Pointes‡	2
D	T-wave alternans	1
Е	Notched T wave in 3 leads	1
F	Low heart rate for age§	0.5
Clinica	al history	
Α	Syncope‡	
	With stress	2
	Without stress	1
В	Congenital deafness	0.5
Family	/ history	
Α	Family members with definite LQTSII	1
В	Unexplained sudden cardiac death younger than age 30 among immediate family membersll	0.5
v. o. No. Cumulative Cardiac-Event-free Survival (%)	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	J-J.N (n=166) LQT1 (n=352) LQT2 (n=212) LQT3 (n=62) p<0.0001
LQT1 LQT2 LQT3	355 249 192 146 100 0 5 10 15 20 25 176 130 187 57 34 0 5 10 15 20 25 49 30 20 9 7 Age (years)	30 35 40

SG Priori et al. N Engl J Med 2000;342:1778-1785

Age (years) PJ Schwartz et al Circ AE. 2012; 5: 868-877

2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death

Beta-blockers are recommended in patients with a clinical diagnosis of LQTS.	I	В
ICD implantation with the use of beta- blockers is recommended in LQTS patients with previous cardiac arrest.	I	В
Beta-blockers should be considered in carriers of a causative LQTS mutation and normal QT interval.	lla	В
ICD implantation in addition to beta-blockers should be considered in LQTS patients who experienced syncope and/or VT while receiving an adequate dose of beta-blockers.	lla	В

Place de la sympathectomie cardiaque gauche et des bloqueurs Na⁺ discutée

QT court



Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
SQTS is diagnosed in the presence of a QTc \leq 340 ms.	I	С
 SQTS should be considered in the presence of a QTc ≤360 ms and one or more of the following: (a) A confirmed pathogenic mutation (b) A family history of SQTS (c) A family history of sudden death at age <40 years (d) Survival from a VT/VF episode in the absence of heart disease. 	lla	С

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
 ICD implantation is recommended in patients with a diagnosis of SQTS who (a) Are survivors of an aborted cardiac arrest, and/or (b) Have documented spontaneous sustained VT. 	I	С
Invasive EPS with PVS is not recommended for SCD risk stratification.	ш	С

*Place de la Quinidine et du Sotalol discutée

TV polymorphes catécholergiques



Recommendations	C lass ^a	Level ^b
CPVT is diagnosed in the presence of a structurally normal heart, normal ECG and exercise- or emotion-induced bidirectional or polymorphic VT.	I	С
CPVT is diagnosed in patients who are carriers of a pathogenic mutation(s) in the genes <i>RyR2</i> or <i>CASQ2</i> .	I	С

2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death

Beta-blockers are recommended in all patients with a clinical diagnosis of CPVT, based on the presence of documented spontaneous or stress-induced VAs.	I	с
ICD implantation in addition to beta-blockers with or without flecainide is recommended in patients with a diagnosis of CPVT who experience cardiac arrest, recurrent syncope or polymorphic/bidirectional VT despite optimal therapy.	I	с
Therapy with beta-blockers should be considered for genetically positive family members, even after a negative exercise test.	lla	с

WPW « malin »

- Transformation en FV d'une FA à cadence très rapide
- Evènement exceptionnel
- Cause curable par la seule ablation de la VA



Conclusions

- Dans la population générale, les causes électriques primaires n'expliquent que 5% environ des ACR
- La proportion est plus élevée chez l'enfant, l'adolescent et l'adulte jeune
- 3% des FV isolées restent encore sans explication: origine génétique? Lien avec syndrome de l'onde J?
- En dehors des très rares étiologies curables (WPW), un ACR de cause électrique primaire impose l'implantation d'un DAI
- La stratification du risque en prévention primaire reste délicate: priorité à l'histoire personnelle et aux symptômes